

Class 8 NATURAL DEDUCTION

Zee R. Perry

Let's do some logic

Natural Deduction

A system of Natural Deduction is one system for determining whether or not a collection of sentences (e.g. ' $A \wedge B$ ', ' $B \rightarrow C$ ') collectively entail or imply another sentence (e.g. ' C ').

Natural Deduction

A system of Natural Deduction is one system for determining whether or not a collection of sentences (e.g. ' $A \wedge B$ ', ' $B \rightarrow C$ ') collectively entail or imply another sentence (e.g. ' C ').

That is, it tells us whether or not the argument:

$$\begin{array}{l} 1. A \wedge B \\ 2. B \rightarrow C \\ \hline \therefore C \end{array}$$

is valid.

Natural Deduction

A system of Natural Deduction is one system for determining whether or not a collection of sentences (e.g. ' $A \wedge B$ ', ' $B \rightarrow C$ ') collectively entail or imply another sentence (e.g. ' C ').

That is, it tells us whether or not the argument:

$$\begin{array}{l} 1. A \wedge B \\ 2. B \rightarrow C \\ \hline \therefore C \end{array}$$

is valid.

But it does this in a very different way than our truth-tables system!

Natural Deduction is about..

Arguments and Proofs

In a Natural Deduction system, we're able to show that certain arguments are valid by **constructing proofs** in the right way.

Natural Deduction is about..

Arguments and Proofs

In a Natural Deduction system, we're able to show that certain arguments are valid by **constructing proofs** in the right way.

Specifically, a well-constructed proof shows that the argument can be broken down into a series of **simple, valid arguments**. And so the argument as a whole must be valid, since it relies on a valid argument at every step!

Natural Deduction is about..

Arguments and Proofs

In a Natural Deduction system, we're able to show that certain arguments are valid by **constructing proofs** in the right way.

Specifically, a well-constructed proof shows that the argument can be broken down into a series of **simple, valid arguments**. And so the argument as a whole must be valid, since it relies on a valid argument at every step!

Natural deduction is a theory of *formal proofs*

Constructing a formal proof is a step-by-step process, and each step must be performed in accordance with the rules of a Natural Deduction system.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps:

The rules of natural deduction are rules on **HOW TO MAKE PROOFS** (we'll be formatting our proofs in what's called the "Fitch style", but the name isn't important)

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps:

The rules of natural deduction are rules on **HOW TO MAKE PROOFS** (we'll be formatting our proofs in what's called the "Fitch style", but the name isn't important)

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered. (So every time you make a new line, it should get a number.)
- **Vertical stroke:** For the entire proof, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number, and continue this stroke with each new line.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps:

The rules of natural deduction are rules on **HOW TO MAKE PROOFS** (we'll be formatting our proofs in what's called the "Fitch style", but the name isn't important)

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered. (So every time you make a new line, it should get a number.)
- **Vertical stroke:** For the entire proof, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number, and continue this stroke with each new line.

Step A: Premises

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps:

The rules of natural deduction are rules on **HOW TO MAKE PROOFS** (we'll be formatting our proofs in what's called the "Fitch style", but the name isn't important)

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered. (So every time you make a new line, it should get a number.)
- **Vertical stroke:** For the entire proof, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number, and continue this stroke with each new line.

Step A: Premises

Step B: Apply Rules

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps:

The rules of natural deduction are rules on **HOW TO MAKE PROOFS** (we'll be formatting our proofs in what's called the "Fitch style", but the name isn't important)

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered. (So every time you make a new line, it should get a number.)
- **Vertical stroke:** For the entire proof, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number, and continue this stroke with each new line.

Step A: Premises

Step B: Apply Rules

Step C: Conclusion

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps:

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered.
- **Vertical stroke:** For the *entire proof*, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number.

Step A: Premises - List all the premises.

- **Line:** List each premise on its own line.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke.
- **Right-Margin:** On each line with a premise, write “Pr.” on the far right-hand side of the proof.
- **At the end:** Draw a horizontal line under the final premise’s line.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps:

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered.
- **Vertical stroke:** For the *entire proof*, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number.

Step A: Premises - List all the premises.

- **Line:** List each premise on its own line.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke.
- **Right-Margin:** On each line with a premise, write “Pr.” on the far right-hand side of the proof.
- **At the end:** Draw a horizontal line under the final premise’s line.

Example:

1		$A \wedge B$	Pr.
2		$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step A

Example:

1		$A \wedge B$	Pr.
2		$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.

Step A: Premises - List all the premises.

- **Line:** List each premise on its own line.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke.
- **Right-Margin:** On each line with a premise, write "Pr." on the far right-hand side of the proof.
- **At the end:** Draw a horizontal line under the final premise's line.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step B

Step B: Apply Rules - Add new lines according to rules.

- **Line:** Use a rule to determine what new lines you are allowed to add, given what's come before.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke. *In addition*, include any other formatting as required by the rules applied in this line or previous ones.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step B

Example:

1	$A \wedge (B \vee C)$	Pr.
2	$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.

Step B: Apply Rules - Add new lines according to rules.

- **Line:** Use a rule to determine what new lines you are allowed to add, given what's come before.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke. *In addition*, include any other formatting as required by the rules applied in this line or previous ones.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step B

Example:

1	$A \wedge (B \vee C)$	Pr.
2	$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.
3	A	$\wedge E, 1$

Step B: Apply Rules - Add new lines according to rules.

- **Line:** Use a rule to determine what new lines you are allowed to add, given what's come before.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke. *In addition*, include any other formatting as required by the rules applied in this line or previous ones.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step B

Example:

1	$A \wedge (B \vee C)$	Pr.
2	$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.
3	A	$\wedge E, 1$
4	$B \vee C$	$\wedge E, 1$

Step B: Apply Rules - Add new lines according to rules.

- **Line:** Use a rule to determine what new lines you are allowed to add, given what's come before.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke. *In addition*, include any other formatting as required by the rules applied in this line or previous ones.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step B

Example:

1	$A \wedge (B \vee C)$	Pr.
2	$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.
3	A	$\wedge E, 1$
4	$B \vee C$	$\wedge E, 1$
5	(TFL SENTENCE)	

Step B: Apply Rules - Add new lines according to rules.

- **Line:** Use a rule to determine what new lines you are allowed to add, given what's come before.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke. *In addition*, include any other formatting as required by the rules applied in this line or previous ones.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step B

Example:

1	$A \wedge (B \vee C)$	Pr.
2	$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.
3	A	$\wedge E, 1$
4	$B \vee C$	$\wedge E, 1$
5	(TFL SENTENCE)	(RULE YOU FOLLOWED)

Step B: Apply Rules - Add new lines according to rules.

- **Line:** Use a rule to determine what new lines you are allowed to add, given what's come before.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke. *In addition*, include any other formatting as required by the rules applied in this line or previous ones.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step B

Example:

1	$A \wedge (B \vee C)$	Pr.
2	$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.
3	A	$\wedge E, 1$
4	$B \vee C$	$\wedge E, 1$
5	(TFL SENTENCE)	(RULE YOU FOLLOWED) ,

Step B: Apply Rules - Add new lines according to rules.

- **Line:** Use a rule to determine what new lines you are allowed to add, given what's come before.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke. *In addition*, include any other formatting as required by the rules applied in this line or previous ones.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step B

Example:

1	$A \wedge (B \vee C)$	Pr.
2	$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.
3	A	$\wedge E, 1$
4	$B \vee C$	$\wedge E, 1$
5	(TFL SENTENCE)	(RULE YOU FOLLOWED) , (LINE NUMBERS YOU USED)

Step B: Apply Rules - Add new lines according to rules.

- **Line:** Use a rule to determine what new lines you are allowed to add, given what's come before.
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke. *In addition*, include any other formatting as required by the rules applied in this line or previous ones.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step C

Step C: Conclusion - Step B ends when you reach the conclusion

- **Line:** If, during Step B, you manage to write down the conclusion, still following the rules of Natural Deduction, then you're done! ***Congrats!!***
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step C

1		$(A \vee C) \wedge B$	Pr.
2		$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.

Example:

(*Psst!* – Our desired conclusion is 'C' !)

Step C: Conclusion - Step B ends when you reach the conclusion

- **Line:** If, during Step B, you manage to write down the conclusion, still following the rules of Natural Deduction, then you're done! ***Congrats!!***
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step C

	1		$(A \vee C) \wedge B$	Pr.	
	2		$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.	
Example:	3		$A \vee C$	$\wedge E, 1$	(Psst! – Our desired conclusion is 'C' !)

Step C: Conclusion - Step B ends when you reach the conclusion

- **Line:** If, during Step B, you manage to write down the conclusion, still following the rules of Natural Deduction, then you're done! ***Congrats!!***
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step C

	1		$(A \vee C) \wedge B$	Pr.	
	2		$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.	
Example:	3		$A \vee C$	$\wedge E, 1$	<i>(Psst! – Our desired conclusion is 'C' !)</i>
	4		B	$\wedge E, 1$	

Step C: Conclusion - Step B ends when you reach the conclusion

- **Line:** If, during Step B, you manage to write down the conclusion, still following the rules of Natural Deduction, then you're done! ***Congrats!!***
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Step C

	1		$(A \vee C) \wedge B$	Pr.	
	2		$B \rightarrow C$	Pr.	
Example:	3		$A \vee C$	$\wedge E, 1$	<i>(Psst! – Our desired conclusion is 'C' !)</i>
	4		B	$\wedge E, 1$	
	5		C	$\rightarrow E, 2, 4$	

Step C: Conclusion - Step B ends when you reach the conclusion

- **Line:** If, during Step B, you manage to write down the conclusion, still following the rules of Natural Deduction, then you're done! ***Congrats!!***
- **Format:** Number each line you add. Draw the vertical stroke.
- **Right-Margin:** Write the rule that you used to generate that line, and the numbers of the lines the rule applied to.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Summary

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps:

Summary

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered. (So every time you make a new line, it should get a number.)
- **Vertical stroke:** For the entire proof, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number, and continue this stroke with each new line.

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Summary

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered. (So every time you make a new line, it should get a number.)
- **Vertical stroke:** For the entire proof, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number, and continue this stroke with each new line.

Step A: Premises – List all Premises

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Summary

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered. (So every time you make a new line, it should get a number.)
- **Vertical stroke:** For the entire proof, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number, and continue this stroke with each new line.

Step A: Premises – List all Premises

Step B: Apply Rules – Add new lines according to rules

How to Build a Proof in Three Easy Steps: Summary

General Rules: (These apply at every step)

- **Numbered lines:** Every line in the proof is numbered. (So every time you make a new line, it should get a number.)
- **Vertical stroke:** For the entire proof, draw a vertical stroke to the right of the line number, and continue this stroke with each new line.

Step A: Premises – List all Premises

Step B: Apply Rules – Add new lines according to rules

Step C: Conclusion – Step B ends when you reach conclusion

Proofs: It's *all* about Step B!

The Natural Deduction system has a large collection of rules which we follow to construct proofs, and they are what allow us to use Step B to get from the argument's premises to its conclusion.

Rule: Reiteration (“Reit.” or “R”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

Rule: Reiteration (“Reit.” or “R”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. P}{\therefore P}$$

Rule: Reiteration (“Reit.” or “R”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. Q}{\therefore Q}$$

Rule: Reiteration (“Reit.” or “R”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. (P \leftrightarrow Q)}{\therefore (P \leftrightarrow Q)}$$

Rule: Reiteration (“Reit.” or “R”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$1. \frac{(A \rightarrow (B \vee (C \wedge Z)))}{\therefore (A \rightarrow (B \vee (C \wedge Z)))}$$

Rule: Reiteration (“Reit.” or “R”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. \mathcal{A}}{\therefore \mathcal{A}}$$

Reiteration Rule, “Reit.”

	⋮		
n		\mathcal{A}	(SOME RULE) , (LINE NUMBERS)
		⋮	⋮
m		\mathcal{A}	Reit., n

Rule: Conjunction Introduction (“ $\wedge I$ ” or “ $\wedge In$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

Rule: Conjunction Introduction (“ $\wedge I$ ” or “ $\wedge In$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\begin{array}{l} 1. P \\ 2. Q \\ \hline \therefore P \wedge Q \end{array}$$

Rule: Conjunction Introduction (“ $\wedge I$ ” or “ $\wedge In$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

1. S

2. A

$\therefore S \wedge A$

Rule: Conjunction Introduction (“ $\wedge I$ ” or “ $\wedge In$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$1. (F \vee D)$$

$$2. (P \rightarrow \neg D)$$

$$\hline \therefore (F \vee D) \wedge (P \rightarrow \neg D)$$

Rule: Conjunction Introduction (“ $\wedge I$ ” or “ $\wedge In$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$1. (A \rightarrow (B \vee (C \wedge Z)))$$

$$2. \neg B$$

$$\therefore (A \rightarrow (B \vee (C \wedge Z))) \wedge \neg B$$

Rule: Conjunction Introduction (“ $\wedge I$ ” or “ $\wedge In$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-form is always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\begin{array}{l} 1. \mathcal{A} \\ 2. \mathcal{B} \\ \hline \therefore \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

Conjunction Introduction, “ $\wedge I$ ”

	\vdots	
n	\mathcal{A}	(SOME RULE) , (LINE NUMBERS)
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
m	\mathcal{B}	(SOME RULE) , (LINE NUMBERS)
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
u	$\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$	$\wedge I, n, m$

Rule: Conjunction Elimination (“ $\wedge E$ ” or “ $\wedge Out$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-forms are always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

Rule: Conjunction Elimination (“ $\wedge E$ ” or “ $\wedge Out$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-forms are always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. (Q \wedge R)}{\therefore Q}$$

$$\frac{1. (Q \wedge R)}{\therefore (R)}$$

Rule: Conjunction Elimination (“ $\wedge E$ ” or “ $\wedge Out$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-forms are always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. A \wedge B}{\therefore A}$$

$$\frac{1. A \wedge B}{\therefore B}$$

Rule: Conjunction Elimination (“ $\wedge E$ ” or “ $\wedge Out$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-forms are always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. (P \rightarrow \neg D) \wedge (F \leftrightarrow D)}{\therefore P \rightarrow \neg D}$$

$$\frac{1. (P \rightarrow \neg D) \wedge (F \leftrightarrow D)}{\therefore (F \leftrightarrow D)}$$

Rule: Conjunction Elimination (“ $\wedge E$ ” or “ $\wedge Out$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-forms are always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. (A \rightarrow (B \vee (C \wedge Z))) \wedge \neg B}{\therefore (A \rightarrow (B \vee (C \wedge Z)))}$$

$$\frac{1. (A \rightarrow (B \vee (C \wedge Z))) \wedge \neg B}{\therefore \neg B}$$

Rule: Conjunction Elimination (“ $\wedge E$ ” or “ $\wedge Out$ ”)

The idea is this: The following argument-forms are always valid, no matter what sentence you use.

$$\frac{1. \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}}{\therefore \mathcal{A}}$$

$$\frac{1. \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}}{\therefore \mathcal{B}}$$

Conjunction Elimination, “ $\wedge E$ ”

	⋮	
n	$\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$	(SOME RULE), (LINE NUMBERS)
⋮	⋮	⋮
u	\mathcal{A}	$\wedge E, n$
⋮	⋮	⋮
v	\mathcal{B}	$\wedge E, n$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15
